UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Massachusetts

| United States of America |) | |
|--------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| v. |) | |
| Juan Aparicio |) Case No. | 1:20-mj-01100-DLC |
| Defendant |) | |

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

- ₫ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
- Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

| ☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person |
| and the community because the following conditions have been met: |
| \square (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): |
| ☐ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. |
| § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or |
| \square (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or |
| (c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the |
| Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or |
| \Box (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs |
| (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or |
| \Box (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves: |
| (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and |
| ☐ (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. |
| § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise |
| to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and |
| \square (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was |
| committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and |
| (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the |
| defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later. |

☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties

| ℴ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant |
| committed one or more of the following offenses: |
| (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the |
| Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); |
| (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; |
| ☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 year or more is prescribed; |
| ☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term |
| imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or |
| ☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425. |
| ☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above |
| ☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.) |
| OR |
| The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted. |
| Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention |
| After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: |
| ■ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. |
| ■ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. |
| In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: |
| ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong |
| ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted |
| |
| ☑ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision |
| |
| ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse |
| |
| ☐ Lack of stable residence |

Case 1:20-mj-01100-DLC Document 14 Filed 07/06/20 Page 3 of 3

| ☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States |
| ☐ Lack of legal status in the United States |
| ☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration |
| ✓ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered |
| ☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement |
| ☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents |
| ☐ Background information unknown or unverified |
| ☑ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release |
| |

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

To be sure, some factors militate in favor of release. The defendant has significant ties to the community and has immediate family members residing in Massachusetts, including his mother, two sisters, and three children. The defendant also has a history of employment, although he has been unemployed for the past two years. But several other factors support the court's conclusion that detention is nonetheless warranted on the grounds of danger and flight.

Regarding danger, the defendant is charged with unlawful firearms possession and possession with intent to distribute cocaine, both inherently dangerous offenses, under circumstances and in light of a criminal record suggesting that the behavior was not aberrant. The defendant argues that the government has not demonstrated that firearms he was photographed holding or being in the presence of are actual working firearms, but that does little to lessen the gravity of the charges, particularly where there is no basis to believe the firearms were not genuine and the evidence suggests that the defendant may have in fact been selling firearms. Moreover, the defendant has been charged previously with A&B (four times), A&B with a dangerous weapon, possession and distribution of cocaine, and strangulation. The defendant's release against this backdrop would pose a risk of danger to which no reasonably mitigating conditions have been proposed.

Regarding the risk of nonappearance, the defendant's criminal history reflects that he has in the past violated restraining orders, has violated terms of probation on at least six occasions, has failed to appear in court as required on over 20 occasions, and has committed new offenses while under supervision. As such, the defendant has demonstrated a cavalier willingness to ignore court orders despite an awareness of the consequences for doing so.

Finally, it does bear noting that the defendant's counsel raised concerns at the detention hearing over his inability to confer privately with the defendant prior to the hearing. A counsel's ability to confer privately with his or her client is of course fundamental and the court takes such concerns seriously. In this instance, counsel did not feel that his inability to confer affected the proceedings. The court further understands that this issue -- which appears to be limited to a particular facility and is the result of precautions taken to both provide a dedicated space within the facility to conduct hearings by videoconference during the pandemic and also maintain security -- is in the process of being addressed and, it is hoped, not likely to recur.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

| Date: | 07/06/2020 | /s/ Donald L. Cabell | |
|-------|------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | | United States Magistrate Judge | |